

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT TOOL

The council has a statutory duty to consider the impact of its decisions on age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy & maternity, race, religion or belief, sex (gender) and sexual orientation.

The Council also has a duty to foster good relations between different groups of people and to promote equality of opportunity.

Completing an Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) is the **simplest way** to demonstrate that the Council has considered the equality impacts of its decisions and it reduces the risk of legal challenge. EIAs should be carried out at the **earliest stages** of policy development or a service review, and then updated as the policy or review develops. EIAs must be undertaken when it is possible for the findings to inform the final decision. Keep all versions of your EIA. An EIA should be finalised once a final decision is taken.

When should you undertake an EIA?

- You are making changes that will affect front-line services
- You are reducing the budget of a service, which will affect front-line services
- You are changing the way services are funded and this may impact the quality of the service and who can access it
- You are making a decision that could have a different impact on different groups of people
- You are making staff redundant or changing their roles (particularly if it impacts on frontline services).
- EIAs also need to be undertaken on how a policy is implemented even if it has been developed by central government (for example cuts to grant funding)
- Section 1 of the EIA Tool: Initial Screening, will help you decide whether a full EIA is necessary

Who should undertake the EIA?

The person who is making the decision or advising the decision-maker

Further Guidance

- Step-by-Step Guidance to the questions
- An EIA e-learning module is available for all Westminster staff: <u>www.learningpool.com/westminster/course/view.php?id=159</u>

Please contact the Equalities lead to inform them when you begin and then complete an EIA: equalities@westminster.gov.uk

SEB will monitor compliance with the requirement to complete EIAs.

Title of Proposal

Adult Social Care Westminster Savings Proposals Better Care Fund – Health Integration Benefit Share (Ref 3.6)

Lead Officer

i. Full Name: Chris Neill

ii. Position: Director, Whole Systemsiii. Department: Adult Social Care

iv. Contact Details: chris.neill @lbhf.gov.uk

Has this project, policy or proposal had an EIA carried out on it previously? If yes, please state date of original and append to this document for information.

Yes

Date of original EIA: 13th October 2014

Version number and date of update

Version 2.0:

11 November 2015

SECTION 1: Initial screening: Do you need to complete an Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)?

Not all proposals will require an EIA, this initial screening will help you decide if your project or policy requires a full EIA by looking at the potential impact on any equality groups.

1.1 What are you analysing?

The Better Care Fund Programme is driving the closer integration of health and social care services and associated investment. The main focus of the programme in terms of savings is increased investment in Community Independence Service (CIS) providing better reablement and recovery to support hospital discharge and to avoid hospital admissions.

The CIS will deliver more rapid and responsive out of hospital care for people with acute needs which will be provided by health and social care teams working together in a co-ordinated way. The CIS initiative is a critical piece of whole system change which will enable and support the shift of activity from expensive acute settings into the community, bringing better organised care and services as close as possible to people's homes. The service is largely focused on the needs of adults, including older people with physical needs, although mental health needs, including those that are associated with life changing events, also need to be catered for.

As the focus of the programme is on improving services and outcomes it does not have the potential to disproportionately impact on any key group. There is however an need to monitor access to CIS services and delivery of outcomes across key equalities groups particularly ethnicity and patterns of need associated with isolation and depression which can have an impact on outcomes.

		None	Positive	Negative	Not sure
	Disabled people	X			
	Particular ethnic groups	Χ			
	Men or women (include impacts due to pregnancy/ maternity)	X			
	People or particular sexual orientation/s	X			
	People who are proposing to undergo, are undergoing or have undergone a process or part of a process of gender reassignment	X			
	People on low incomes	X			
	People in particular age groups	X			
	Groups with particular faiths and beliefs	X			
	Are there any other groups that you think may be affected negatively or positively by this project, policy or proposal?				
	poncy or proposall				
3	If the answer is "negative" of the wind that the NEGATIVE impact on group communities will be?	overall	' consider doil None / N	/linimal S	Significant
.3	What do you think that the NEGATIVE impact on group	overall s and oe where there where there for any grou been identifi g a full EIA by	None / No	/linimal S	Significant
	What do you think that the NEGATIVE impact on group communities will be? None or minimal impact would be no negative impact identified, or will be no change to the services. Wherever a negative impact has you should consider undertaking	overall s and be where there where there for any grou been identifi g a full EIA by	None / No	Ainimal S	
.3	What do you think that the NEGATIVE impact on group communities will be? None or minimal impact would be no negative impact identified, or will be no change to the services. Wherever a negative impact has you should consider undertaking completing the rest of the form. Using the screening and infassessment be carried out	overall s and oe where there where there for any grou been identifi g a full EIA by formation in on the proj	None / No	Ainimal S 2 and 1.3, show	uld a full

Does the project, policy or proposal have the potential to disproportionately

1.2

and evaluation work being undertaken will provide an opportunity to review and ensure that the benefits of these changes in terms of access and outcomes are considered.

SECTION 2: EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Building an Evidence Base: What do you know?

This section will help you build your evidence base and interpret what the likely impact will be of your service.

Sections 2 - 5 will be completed as part of the evaluation of the CIS service which is taking place in Q3 and Q4 of 2016/17.

2.1	 are likely to be impacted by the If you do not formally collect dat or consultations, census data, no case). Please attempt to complete 	a about a particular group then use the results of local surveys ational trends or anecdotal evidence (indicate where this is the te all boxes.
	How many people use the service currently? What is this as a % of Westminster's population?	5,234 residents are expected to receive one or more rapid response, in-reach, rehabilitation or reablement service in 2015/16. This is 2.3% of the population.
	Disabled people	The service is focused on people with physical needs – either temporary or long term. The proposal is aiming to improve services and outcomes. The service also needs to respond to the needs of residents with mental health needs – both ongoing aspects particularly Altzheimer's, and needs associated with trauma and loss. Residents may express a need to have services provided by a carer or therapist of a particular agenda which would need to be catered for.
	Particular ethnic groups	The service is provided on a population wide basis. It will need to take into account and cater for patterns of need and health inequalities that are fully described in the local Joint Strategic Needs Assessment. This does not impact on the approach taken to individual case management however.
	Men or women (include impacts due to pregnancy/maternity)	See above.
	People of particular sexual orientations	See above
	People who are proposing to undergo, are undergoing or have undergone a process or part of a process of gender reassignment	See above
	People on low incomes	See above
	People in particular age groups	See above
	Groups with particular faiths and beliefs	See above
	Any other groups who may be affected by the proposal?	

2.2	Summary (to be completed follo	owing analysi	s of the evider	nce above)	
	Does the project, policy or proposal	None	Positive	Negative	Not sure
	have the potential to have a				
	disproportionate impact on any of			* .	
	the following groups? If so, is the				
	impact positive or negative?	S			
	Disabled people				
	Particular ethnic groups				
	Men or women (include impacts due		Ш		
	to pregnancy/maternity)	57			
	People of particular sexual			Ш	
	orientations	5-7			
	People who are proposing to		Ш		
	undergo, are undergoing or have				
	undergone a process or part of a				
	process of gender reassignment	5-7			
	People on low incomes				
	People in particular age groups				
	Groups with particular faiths and beliefs				
	Are there any other groups that				
	you think this proposal may affect				
	negatively or positively?				

SECTION 3: Assessing Impact

In order to be able to identify ways to mitigate any potential impact it is essential that we know what those potential impacts might be.

3.1	Consultation Information This section should record the consultation activity undertaken in relation to this project, policy or proposal
	 i. Who have you consulted with? A evaluation of the CIS service is currently being conducted which is looking at access and outcomes. This is being led by Imperial with the close involvement of the Council. The evaluation will incorporate available patient feedback.
	ii. How did you consult? (inc meeting dates, activity undertaken & groups consulted)
	The evaluation work that will be carried out by the end of 2015 comprises;
	Existing or currently commissioned work
	Deloitte Report
	Capita Patient Experience Report

- HFCCG CIS evaluation report
- Lead Provider staffing and investment documentation
- Lead Provider Oversight Group (LPOG) minutes
- Monthly Joint Provider Reports
- Nationally mandated surveys

Additional data collection

- GP interviews
- CIS and Lead Provider staff surveys
- Interviews with key Lead Providers
- Interviews with key joint-commissioners
- Performance of CIS case file audits for the three boroughs

Further consultation and evaluation, including work to assess the experience and potential impacts that need to be managed, will be considered following completion of this phase of the evaluation.

3.2	What might the potential impact Consider disability, race, gender, sexual those on low incomes and other exclude	orientation, transgender, age, faith or belief and
	Generic impact (across all groups)	
	Men or women (include impacts due to pregnancy/maternity)	
	People of particular sexual orientation	
	People who are proposing to undergo, are undergoing or have undergone a process or part of a process of gender reassignment	
	Disabled people	Patients with mental health needs may require an adjusted approach to the reablement and recovery support that they receive including particular techniques to explain and reinforce what is required to support good outcomes.
	Particular ethnic groups	
	People on low incomes	Poor housing and/or poverty including fuel poverty may limit delivery of good outcomes.
	People in particular age groups	
	Groups with particular faiths and beliefs	
	Other excluded individuals and groups	

SECTION 4: Reducing & Mitigating Impact

As a result of what you have learned, what can you do to minimise the impact of the proposed changes on equality groups and other excluded / vulnerable groups, as outlined above?

4.1	Where you have identified an ir		
	the impact? (Remember to think ab		
	already be providing services which co Impact 1: [Potential adverse impact	in help to deal with ar	ny negative impact).
	on achieving reablement and		
	recovery outcomes associated with		
	mental health]		
	Impact 2: [Potential adverse impact		
	on achieving reablement and recovery outcomes associated with		
	homelessness, poor housing and/or		
	poverty] I		
	Impact 3: [Insert impact here]		
	Impact 4: [Insert impact here]		
	Impact 5: [Insert impact here]		
4.2	Now that you have considered t	the potential or ac	tual effect on equality, what
	action are you taking?		
	No major change (no impacts identifie	ed)	
	Adjust the policy		
	Continue the policy (impacts identified	d)	
	Stop and remove the policy		
4.3	Please document the reasons for	or your decision	
	The current policy caters for identifie evaluation.	d needs and is comm	itted to further consultation and
4.4	How will the impact of the proje	ect, policy or prop	osal and any changes made
	to reduce the impact be monito		
	Contiued monitoring and evaluation.		
4.5	Conclusion		"我们是我们的一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个
	This section should record the overall	impact, who will be in	npacted upon and the steps being
	taken to reduce/mitigate impact		
	Overall adverse impact is not expected inequalities in access and successfully		
	and low income/ housing. These nee	-	
	approach that is taken.		,
	There is a need for further monitoring		ultation which will be considered
	following the current evaluation phase	€.	

SECTION 5: Next Steps

5.1	Action Plan Complete the action gaps.	ı plan if you need to re	Action Plan Complete the action plan if you need to reduce or remove the negative impacts you have identified, take steps to foster good relations or fill data gaps.	egative impacts you h	ave identified, take st	eps to foster good rel	ations or fill data
	NB. Add any additio	NB. Add any additional rows, if required.					
	Action Required	Equality Groups Targeted	Intended Outcome	Resources Needed	Name of Lead, Unit & Contact Details	Completion Date (DD/MM/YY)	RAG
1	Complete current evaluation.	AII	Obtain broad understanding of CIS model established in April 15	Secured	Davey Thomason Associate Director Community Services Programme Team NHS Central London Clinical Commissioning Group	31/01/2016	Green
2	Agree equalities monitoring and evaluation approach to be taken going forward.	All - particularly those relating to Mental Health and Housing as barrier to effective reablement.	Development of EIA	Secured	James Hebblethwaite, Senior Business Analyst.	28/02/2016	Green
ო	Refresh EIA	All	Development of EIA	Secured	James Hebblethwaite, Senior Business Analyst.	30/03/2016	Green

5.2 Ri	5.2 Risk Table				
Ref	Risk	Impact	Actions in place to mitigate the risk	Current risk score	Further actions to be developed
R1.1	[Enter risk here]	[Enter here the likely impact if the risk came to pass]	[Record here any actions already in place to reduce the risk]	[Using the key below, enter the current risk score]	[Enter here any actions that can be developed in future to reduce the risk identified]
	Health buy in and support	Critical	Put on the agenda and focus on the business case (better outcomes and associated savings)	œ	
	Completion of equalities information across two systems	Critical	Promote compliance	8	
	Patient voice including equalities aspects is not sufficiently promoted	Critical	Continue to develop evaluation working with key partners including Health Watch	∞	



THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY THE RELEVANT SERVICE MANAGER

Signature:

Full Name: Chris Neill, Director Whole Systems Integration

Unit: ASC Department

Email & Telephone Ext: Chris.Neill@lbhf.gov.uk

Date of Completion: 11/11/2015

WHAT NEXT?

Please email your completed EIA to the Equalities Lead: equalities@westminster.gov.uk

